

A study on $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed sets using grill space

V. Thiripurasundari¹ and N Nagamani.²

¹Assistant Professor,
 PG and Research Department of Mathematics,
 Sri S.R.N.M.College, Sattur - 626 203, Tamil Nadu, India.
²M.Phil Scholar, PG and Research Department of Mathematics,
 Sri S.R.N.M.College, Sattur - 626 203, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract : In this paper we apply the notion of $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed sets and obtain a new class of generalized closed sets using grills. Also we investigate the properties of $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed sets.

Keywords: Grill, topology τ_G , operator Φ , $\zeta\omega$ -open, $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed.

1 Introduction

The concepts of grill topological spaces depended on the two operators are Φ and Ψ . This concept was first introduced by Choquet [3] in 1947. In [1], Roy and Mukherjee defined and studied a typical topology associated rather naturally to the existing topology and a grill on a given topological space. N. Chandramathi[7] have defined new class of generalized closed sets using grills. Introduced and investigated the notions of $\zeta\omega$ -open sets, ζ -semi-open sets in grill topological spaces.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper (X, τ) (or simply X) represent topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless otherwise mentioned. For a subset A of X , $\text{cl}(A)$, $\text{int}(A)$ and A^c denote the closure of A , the interior of A and the complement of A respectively.

Definition 2.1. A subset A of (X, τ) is called
 (i) semi-generalized closed (briefly sg-closed) set[8] if $\text{scl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is semi open set in (X, τ) .
 (ii) generalized semi-closed (briefly gs-closed) set [9] if $\text{scl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open set in (X, τ) .
 (iii) generalized α -closed (briefly $g\alpha$ -closed) set[4] if $\text{acl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is α -open set in (X, τ)

Definition 2.2. [3] A collection ζ of non empty subsets of a spaces X is called grill on X if

- (i) $A \in \zeta$ and $A \subseteq B \subseteq X \Rightarrow B \in \zeta$
- (ii) $A, B \subseteq X$ and $A \cup B \in \zeta \Rightarrow A \in \zeta$ or $B \in \zeta$.

Definition 2.3. [1] Let (X, τ) be a topological

space and ζ be a grill on X . We define a mapping $\Phi : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ denoted by $\Phi_\zeta(A, \tau)$ (for $A \in P(X)$) or $\Phi_\zeta(A)$ or simply $\Phi_\zeta(A)$, called the operator associated with the grill ζ and the topology τ , and is defined by $\Phi(A) = \phi_\zeta(X, \tau, \zeta) = \{x \in X : A \cap U_x \in \zeta, \forall U_x \in \tau(x) \text{ for each } A \in P(X)\}$

Definition 2.4. [1] Let ζ be grill on a space X . We define a map $\Psi : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ by $\Psi(A) = A \cup \Phi(A)$ for all $A \in P(X)$.

Definition 2.5. [1] Corresponding to a grill ζ on a topological space (X, τ) there exists a unique topology τ_ζ (say) on X given by $\tau_\zeta = \{U \subseteq X : \Psi(X \setminus U) = (X \setminus U)\}$ where for any all $A \subseteq X$, $\Psi(A) = A \cup \Phi(A) = \tau_\zeta - \text{cl}(A)$.

Definition 2.6. [2] Let X be a space and $(\phi \supseteq) A \subseteq X$. Then $A = \{B \subseteq X : A \cap B \neq \phi\}$ is a grill on X called principal grill generated by A .

Definition 2.7. [7] Let (X, τ) be a topological space and ζ be any grill on X . Then a subset A of X is called $\zeta\omega$ -closed if $\psi(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is ζ -semi open in X . A subset A of X is called $\zeta\omega$ -open if $X \setminus A$ is $\zeta\omega$ -closed.

3 $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed sets

Definition 3.1. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and ζ be any grill on X . Then a subset A of X is called $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed if $\psi(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is ζ - ω -open in X . A subset A of X is called $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -open if $X \setminus A$ is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed.

Theorem 3.2. Every closed set in (X, τ) is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed in (X, τ, ζ) .

Proof. Let A be any closed set and U be any ζ - ω -open set such that $\text{cl}(A) = A \subseteq U$. Since A is closed. But $\psi(A) \subseteq \text{cl}(A)$, we have $\psi(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$. Hence A is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed. The converse of the above theorem is not true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.3. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, with $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$ and $\zeta = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$. Let $A = \{b\}$ and $U = \{b, c\}$ where U is $\zeta\omega$ -open in X . Therefore $\phi(A) = \{\phi\}$ and $\psi(A) = A \cup \phi(A) = \{b\} \subseteq U$. Then the set $\{b\}$ is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed but not closed.

Theorem 3.4. Every $\alpha\omega$ -closed set in (X, τ) is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed in (X, τ, ζ) .

Proof. Let A be any $\alpha\omega$ closed set and U be any $\zeta\omega$ -open set containing A . Since every $\zeta\omega$ -open set is ω -open and A is $\alpha\omega$ -closed we have, $cl(A) \subseteq U$. But $\psi(A) \subseteq cl(A)$. Thus we have, $\psi(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$. Hence A is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.5. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ with $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ and $\zeta = \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$. Let $A = \{b\}$ and $U = \{b, c\}$ where U is $\zeta\omega$ -open in X . Therefore $\phi(A) = \{b\}$ and $\psi(A) = A \cup \phi(A) = \{b\} \subseteq U$. Then the set $\{b\}$ is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed set but not $\alpha\omega$ -closed set in (X, τ) .

Remark 3.6. In a grill space (X, τ, ζ) $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed sets are generalized of $\alpha\omega$ -closed set which itself is a generalized closed set in (X, τ, ζ) .

Theorem 3.7. Every $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed set in (X, τ, ζ) is ζg -closed in (X, τ, ζ) .

Proof. Let $A \subseteq U$, U is open and hence it is $\zeta\omega$ -open. Since A is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed, we have $\psi(A) \subseteq U$. But $\phi(A) \subseteq \psi(A) \subseteq U$. Hence A is ζg -closed.

Example 3.8. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ with $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$ and $\zeta = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$.

Then the set $\{a, b\}$ is ζg -closed but not $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed

Remark 3.9. In the case $[X]$ principal grill generated by X , it is known that $\tau = \tau[X]$ so that any $[X]$ - $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed set becomes an $\alpha\omega$ -closed set and vice-versa.

Theorem 3.10. Every $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed set in (X, τ, ζ) is a $g\alpha$ -closed set in (X, τ) .

Proof. Let A be a $\zeta\alpha\omega$ is -closed set in (X, τ, ζ) . Then $\psi(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is $\zeta\omega$ -open. We know that $cl(A) \subseteq U$. Then every closed set is α -closed set. We have $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$ and also every α -open set is ω -open. $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is α -open.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.11. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ with $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$ and $\zeta = \{X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$. Then the set $\{b\}$ is $g\alpha$ -closed set in (X, τ) but not $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed in (X, τ, ζ) .

Theorem 3.12. Every $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed set in (X, τ, ζ) is a $g s$ -closed set in (X, τ) .

Proof. Let A be a $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed set in (X, τ, ζ) . Then $\psi(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is $\zeta\omega$ -open. We know that $cl(A) \subseteq U$. Then every closed set is semi-closed set. We have $scl(A) \subseteq U$ and also every open set is ω -open. Therefore $scl(A) \subseteq U$

whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.13. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ with $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ and $\zeta = \{X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$. Then the set $\{a, c\}$ is $g s$ -closed set in (X, τ) but not $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed set in (X, τ, ζ) .

Theorem 3.14. Every $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed set in (X, τ, ζ) is a $g s$ -closed set in (X, τ) .

Proof. Let A be a $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed set in (X, τ, ζ) . Then $\psi(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is $\zeta\omega$ -open. We know that $cl(A) \subseteq U$. Then every closed set is semi-closed set. We have $scl(A) \subseteq U$ and also every semi-open set is $\zeta\omega$ -open. Therefore $scl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is semi-open.

The converse of the above theorem is not true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.15. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ with $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ and $\zeta = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$. Then the set $\{a, b\}$ is $g s$ -closed set in (X, τ) but not $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed set in (X, τ, ζ) .

Theorem 3.16. Let (X, τ, ζ) be a topological space and ζ be a grill on X . Then for a subset A of X , the following are equivalent.

- (i) A is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed.
- (ii) $\psi(A) \subseteq U$ for $\zeta\omega$ -open set U containing A .
- (iii) For each $x \in \psi(A)$, $\zeta(\{x\} \cap A) \neq \emptyset$.
- (iv) $\psi(A) \setminus A$ contains no nonempty $\zeta\omega$ -closed set of (X, τ, ζ) .
- (v) $\phi(A) \setminus A$ contains no nonempty $\zeta\omega$ -closed set of (X, τ, ζ) .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let A be a $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed. Then clearly, $\psi(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is $\zeta\omega$ -open in X .

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) suppose $x \in \psi(A)$. If $\zeta\omega cl(\{x\} \cap A) = \emptyset$, then $A \subseteq X \setminus \zeta\omega cl(\{x\})$ is a $\zeta\omega$ -open set. By assumption $\psi(A) \setminus A \subseteq X \setminus \zeta\omega cl(\{x\})$, which is a contradiction to $x \in \psi(A)$. Hence $\zeta\omega cl(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$, This prove (iv).

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) Assume that $F \subseteq \phi(A)$. where F is $\zeta\omega$ -closed and $F \neq \emptyset$. This gives

$F \subseteq \phi(A)$. This contradicts (iv).

(iv) \Rightarrow (v) It follows from the fact that $\psi(A) \setminus A = \phi(A) \setminus A$.

(v) \Rightarrow (i) Let $A \subseteq U$ where U is $\zeta\omega$ -open such that $\phi(A) * U$. This gives $\phi(A) \cap (X - U) = \emptyset$ or $\phi(A) \setminus [X \setminus (X \setminus U)] = \emptyset$. This gives $\phi(A) \setminus A \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, $\phi(A) \setminus A = \phi(A) \cap (X \setminus U)$ is $\zeta\omega$ -closed set in x . Since $\phi(A) = cl(\phi(A))$ is closed in X and $X \setminus U \in \zeta\omega c(X)$. Also, $\phi(A) \setminus U \subseteq \phi(A) \setminus A$. This gives that $\phi(A) \setminus A$ contains a non empty $\zeta\omega$ -closed set. This contradicts (v). This completes the proof. |

corollary 3.17. Let (X, τ) be a T_1 space and ζ be a grill on X . Then every $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed set is τ_ζ -closed.

corollary 3.18. Let (X, τ, ζ) be a grill topological space and A be a $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed set. The following are equivalent.

(i) A is τ_ζ -closed.

(ii) $\psi(A) \setminus A$ is $\zeta\omega$ -closed set in (X, τ, ζ) .

(iii) $\varphi(A) \setminus A$ is $\zeta\omega$ -closed set in (X, τ, ζ) .

Proof. Let A be a τ_ζ -closed. Then $\varphi(A) \setminus A = \psi(A) \setminus A$ gives $\psi(A) \setminus A = \varphi$. This proves that $\psi(A) \setminus A$ is $\zeta\omega$ -closed.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Since $\varphi(A) \setminus A = \psi(A) \setminus A$ and so $\varphi(A) \setminus A$ is $\zeta\omega$ -closed in X . (iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $\varphi(A) \setminus A$ be a $\zeta\omega$ -closed set. Now A is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed and by theorem 3.16 (v). $\varphi(A) \setminus A$ contains no nonempty $\zeta\omega$ -closed set. Therefore, $\varphi(A) \setminus A = \varphi$. This proves $\varphi(A) = A$ and hence A is τ_ζ -closed.

Theorem 3.19. In a grill space (X, τ, ζ) an $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed set and τ_ζ -dense set in itself is $\alpha\omega$ -closed.

Proof. Suppose A is τ_ζ -dense in itself and $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed in X . Let U be any $\zeta\omega$ open set containing A , then $\psi(A) \subseteq U$. Since A is τ_ζ -dense in itself then, $\varphi(A) = \text{cl}(\varphi(A)) = \psi(A) = \text{acl}(A)$, we get $\text{acl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$. This proves that A is $\alpha\omega$ -closed. .

corollary 3.20. If (X, τ, ζ) is any grill space where $\zeta = P(X) \setminus \{\varphi\}$ then A is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed if and only if A is $\alpha\omega$ -closed.

Proof. The proof follows from the fact that $\zeta = P(X) \setminus \{\varphi\}$, $\varphi(A) = \text{acl}(A) \supseteq A$ and so every subset of X is τ_ζ -dense set in itself.

The following theorem gives another characterization of $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed set.

Theorem 3.21. Let (X, τ, ζ) be a grill space. Then $A \subseteq X$ is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed if and only if $A = F \setminus N$, where F is τ_ζ -closed and N contains no nonempty $\zeta\omega$ -closed set

Proof. If A is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed set then by Theorem 3.16, $N = \psi(A) \setminus A$ contains no nonempty $\zeta\omega$ -closed set. Let $F = \psi(A)$, then F is τ_ζ -closed set and $F - N = A \cup (\varphi(A)) \setminus (\varphi(A) \setminus A) = A$.

Conversely, Let U be any $\zeta\omega$ -open set in X containing A , then $F \setminus N \subseteq U$ implies $F \cap (X \setminus U) \subseteq F \cap (X \setminus (F \setminus N)) = F \cap (X \setminus F) \cup N = F \cap N \subseteq$

N . By hypothesis $A \subseteq F$ and $\varphi(F) \subseteq F$ as F is τ_ζ -closed gives $\varphi(A) \cap (X \setminus U) \subseteq \varphi(F) \cap (X \setminus U) \subseteq F \cap (X \setminus U) \subseteq N$ where $\varphi(A) \cap (X \setminus U)$ is $\zeta\omega$ -closed set. By hypothesis $\varphi(A) \cap (X \setminus U) = \varphi$ or $\psi(A) \subseteq U$ implies that A is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed set.

Theorem 3.22. Let (X, τ, ζ) be a grill space. Then every subset of X is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed if and only if every $\zeta\omega$ -open set is τ_ζ -closed.

Proof. Suppose that every subset of X is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed. Let U be a $\zeta\omega$ -open set then U is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed and $\psi(A) \subseteq U$. Hence U is τ_ζ -closed.

Conversely, Suppose that every $\zeta\omega$ -open set is τ_ζ -closed. Let A be nonempty subset of X contained in a $\zeta\omega$ -open set U . Then $\psi(A) \subseteq \psi(U)$ implies $\psi(A) \subseteq U$. This Proves that A is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -closed. .

Theorem 3.23. A set A is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -open if and only if $F \subseteq \tau_\zeta\text{-int}(A)$ whenever F is $\zeta\omega$ -closed and $F \subseteq A$.

Proof. Suppose that $F \subseteq \tau_\zeta\text{-int}(A)$, where F is $\zeta\omega$ -closed and $F \subseteq A$. Let $A^c \subseteq U$, where U is $\zeta\omega$ -open. Then $U^c \subseteq A$ and U^c is $\zeta\omega$ -closed. Therefore, $U^c \subseteq \tau_\zeta\text{-int}(A)$. Since $U^c \subseteq \tau_\zeta\text{-int}(A)$, we have $(\tau_\zeta\text{-int}(A))^c \subseteq U$. That is $\psi(A^c) \subseteq U$, since $\psi(A^c) = (\tau_\zeta\text{-int}(A))^c$. Thus A^c is $\zeta\omega$ -closed, that is A is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -open.

Conversely, Suppose that A is $\zeta\alpha\omega$ -open. $F \subseteq A$ and F is $\zeta\omega$ -closed. Then F^c is $\zeta\omega$ -open and $A^c \subseteq F^c$. Therefore $\psi(A^c) \subseteq F^c$ and so $F \subseteq \tau_\zeta\text{-int}(A)$, since $\psi(A^c) = (\tau_\zeta\text{-int}(A))^c$.

References

- [1] B. Roy and M. N. Mukherjee, On a typical topology induced by a grill, Soochow. J. Math., 33(4) (2007), 771-786.
- [2] B. Roy and M. N. Mukherjee., A generalization of paracompactness in terms of grills, Mathematical Communications., 14(1) (2009), 75-83.
- [3] G.Choquet, Sur les notions de filter et grille, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris, 224(1947), 171-173.
- [4] H. Maki, R Devi and K Balachandran, Generalized α -closed sets in topology, Bull.Fukuoka Uni.Ed part III, 42(1993), 13-21.
- [5] M. E Abd El - Monsef, S.Rose Mary and M. Lellis Thivagar, On $\alpha\hat{g}$ -closed sets in

topological spaces, Assiut University Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, Vol 36(1), P-P.43-51(2007).

[6] M.K.R.S.Veera Kumar, g^{\wedge} -closed sets in topological spaces, Bull. Allah. Math.Soc, 18(2003), 99-112.

[7] N.Chandramathi A New class of generalized closed sets using grill, ijma., 7(7), 2016, 66-71

[8] P Bhattacharya and B.K Lahiri, Semi-generalized closed sets in topology, Indian J.Math., 29(1987), 375-382.

[9] S.P Arya and T Nour, Characterizations of S-normal spaces, Indian J.Pure.Appl.MAth.,21(8)(1990), 717-719.