

# Matriderm Has Been Presented As Providing A Better Graft Quality For The Same Depth Donor Site Even In The Acute Situation. What Would Limit The Use Of Matriderm For Every Skin Graft Procedure?

R K Ahmed

QMUL, Burn Care, UK

---

**Abstract:** *It has been proven that Matriderm is useful for every skin graft procedure with the combination of different substitutes such as Matriderm and skin autologous graft as compare to all other available substitutes in practice but Matriderm can't be used on it's own for any skin graft because it is applicable only for superficial burns. It has been used as a single layer, left on wounds for seven to ten days, indicated only for superficial burns. This literature would highlight limitations for the use of Matriderm for every skin graft procedure.*

## Method

MEDLINE/ PubMed Data

## Literature Review

Matriderm is a single layer of collagen and elastin skin substitute that acts as a dermal regeneration prototype and can be stored at room temperature (Kolokythas et al. 2008). Matriderm is suitable for one step repair of full thickness burns (Wetzig et al. 2009). It is a class III product which works as an implant. Intrinsically, it acts as scaffold for tissue reconstruction and is absorbed in 6 weeks by replacement of autologous cells and tissue (Cervelli et al, 2010).

Cervelli et al. conducted a study on diverse groups with various combinations of substitutes including with the use of Matriderm for every skin graft and identified significance between the groups. They also emphasized that Matriderm can be used on tendons and bone.

Although Matriderm and other dermal substitutes improve overall wound healing by increasing the elasticity and pliability of the reconstructed skin there are a number of factors that may limit the use of Matriderm. A major contraindication to the use of Matriderm which is derived from porcine material, is allergy to porcine material (Rehim et al. 2014).

Use of Matriderm, or any dermal substitute would not be appropriate in wounds in which there is very limited or almost no vascularised tissue. The amount of vascularized tissue required for successful use of Matriderm is not well defined in the literature however, and therefore would be a clinical judgement based on the Surgeon's experience (Iorio et al. 2012). Another factor limiting use of Matriderm is wounds that have been irradiated or that have extensive devascularised tissue (Rehim et al 2014).

A factor of great significance is the presence or absence of infection. Studies report that in the presence of infection, there is 26% less successful take of dermal matrices (Heimbach et al 1988). Another more recent study reported a case of fatal shock syndrome secondary to colonization of the dermal substitute grafted site by MRSA (Shirley et al. 2010). Therefore, it is imperative to rule out infection by microbiology cultures prior to application of dermal substitutes (Rehim et al. 2014).

Finally, another area to be considered and may be a reason not to use Matriderm is cost and availability, particularly in developing countries. Currently, data on cost-effective analysis on Matriderm is limited. One study reported that dermal substitute treatment costs totaled 2218 Euros per patient, where as skin graft only treatment cost 1703 Euros. However, they also reported that indirect health related costs such as cost of staying in hospital and labour were considered more important factors when deciding on treatment option (Hop et al 2013).

## Summary

Therefore, in summary there are a number of factors that have to be taken into account and may lead to the use of alternative treatment options, however the decision to use Matriderm, or any dermal substitute is therefore often a clinical one.

**References**

Capo JT, Kokko KP, Rizzo M, Adams JE, Shamian B, Abernathie B, Melamed E. The use of skin substitutes in the treatment of the hand and upper extremity. *Hand (N Y)*. 2014 Jun;9(2):156-65. doi: 10.1007/s11552-013-9587-5.

Cervelli, V., Brinci, L., Spallone, D., Tati, E., Palla, L., Lucarini, L. and De Angelis, B. (2011) The use of MatriDerm and skin grafting in post-traumatic wounds. *Int Wound J*, vol 8, p:400–405.

Cervelli, V., Lucarini, L., Cerretani, C., Spallone, D., Palla, L., Brinci, L. and De Angelis, B. (2010) The use of Matriderm and autologous skin grafting in the treatment of diabetic ulcers: a case report. *Int Wound J*, vol 7(4), p:291-6.

Heimbach D, Luterman A, Burke J, et al. Artificial dermis for major burns. A multi-center randomized clinical trial. *Ann Surg* 1988;208:313–20.

Hop MJ, Bloemen MC, van Baar ME, et al, TOPSKIN Study Group. Cost study of dermal substitutes and topical negative pressure in the surgical treatment of burns. *Burns* 2013. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.burns.2013.08.025>. pii:S0305–4179(13)00264-7.

Iorio ML, Shuck J, Attinger CE. Wound healing in the upper and lower extremities: a systematic review on the use of acellular dermal matrices. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2012;130(5 Suppl 2):232S–41S.

Kolokythas, P., Aust, M. C., Vogt, P. M. and Paulsen, F. (2008) Dermal substitute with the collagen-elastin matrix MatriDerm in burn injuries: a comprehensive review. *Handchir Mikrochir Plast Chir*, vol 40(6) p:367–71.

Rehim SA, Singhal M, Chung KC. Dermal skin substitutes for upper limb reconstruction: current status, indications, and contraindications. *Hand Clin*. 2014 May;30(2):239-52.

Ryssel, H., Gazyakan, E., Germann, G. and Ohlbauer, M. (2008) The use of MatriDerm® in early excision and simultaneous autologous skin grafting in burns – a pilot study. *Burns*, vol 34, p:93–7.

Shirley R, Teare L, Dziewulski P, et al. A fatal case of toxic shock syndrome associated with skin substitute. *Burns* 2010;36(6):e96–8.

Wetzig, T., Gebhardt, C. and Simon, J. C. (2009)

New Indications for artificial Collagen-Elastin Matrices? Covering Exposed Tendons. *Dermatology*, 219(3), p: 272–3.